





<u>IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</u>

pplication No.

10/511451

Confirmation No. N/A

Applicant

Toru Takenaka et al.

Filed

October 14, 2004

TC/A.U.

N/A

Examiner :

N/A

Title

SYSTEM FOR ESTIMATING ATTITUDE OF LEG TYPE

MOVING ROBOT ITSELF

Docket No.

SAT-15711

Customer No.

040854

<u>LETTER</u>

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir/Madam:

Enclosed herewith is an English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report for filing in the above-identified application.

Respectfully submitted,

RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK LLP

Bv

David E. Spaw, Reg. No. 34732

4080 Erie Street Willoughby, Ohio 44094-7836 (216) 566-9700

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Signature of Person Mailing Paper

1/13/05

David E. Spaw

Date

Printed Name of Person Mailing Paper







PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Anslation PAT	FENT COOPERAT		TY		
Slati	PCT		١		
INTERNATION	NAL PRELIMINARY	EXAMINAT	TION REI	PORT	
	(PCT Article 36 and	i Rule 70)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT03139	FOR FURTHER ACTION	R FURTHER ACTION SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternational Prelim Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
				ty date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 26 April 2002 (26.04.02)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nati B25J 5/00, 13/00	onal classification and IPC				
Applicant HONDA	GIKEN KOGYO KAI	BUSHIKI KA	ISHA		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of This report is also accompanie amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the A These annexes consist of a total	d by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets this report and/or sheets cont Administrative Instructions un	of the description taining rectificate ander the PCT).	n claims and	l/or drawings which have t efore this Authority (see l	
IV Lack of unity of inverse v Reasoned statement citations and explanations. VI Certain documents of the company of the compa	f opinion with regard to nove ention under Article 35(2) with reg ations supporting such staten	ard to novelty, in nent			
Date of submission of the demand	Da	te of completion			
10 September 2003 (10	.09.03)	01 (October 20	03 (01.10.2003)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Au	thorized officer			
!					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

Best Available Copy



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

1. Basis	of the report
1. With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed
	the description:
لــا	•
	, as originally filed
	, filed with the demand
	, med with the letter of
Ш	the claims:
	pages, as originally filed
	pages, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
	pages, filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of
	the drawings:
	2000
	pages, as originally filed pages
	pages, filed with the demand
г .	pages, filed with the letter of
tr	ne sequence listing part of the description:
	pages, as originally filed
	pages, filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of
These	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which remational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ or 55.3).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international inary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
<u> </u>	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	-]
F	the description, pages
┝	the claims, Nos.
L	the drawings, sheets/fig
T bo	his report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go eyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
Replace in this and 70.1	ment sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 17).
<i>, .</i> op.	acement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

atement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	· YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Claims 1, 4 and 5

None of the documents cited in the ISR describes the self-attitude estimating device for a leg type moving robot, in which the actual attitude of a predetermined region is estimated based on at least (1) the acceleration value detected by an acceleration sensor, (2) an estimated motion acceleration, and (3) a detected or estimated actual attitude acceleration. This constitution is not considered to be obvious to a person skilled in the art either.

Claims 2, 3 and 6

None of the documents cited in the ISR describes the self-attitude estimating device for a leg type moving robot, in which an estimated attitude angle value is obtained while being corrected, based on at least (1) the acceleration value detected by an acceleration sensor and (2) an estimated motion acceleration. This constitution is not considered to be obvious to a person skilled in the art either.

Form PCT/ IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)